

Problem 4A,4

show that the constant 3 in the Vitali Covering cannot be replaced by a smaller positive constant.

Proof: Just take two intervals, $(0, 1), (1 - \frac{1}{n}, 2 - \frac{1}{n})$, where n can be arbitrary large positive integer.

Problem 4A,8

Find a formula for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of the function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof:

$$f^*(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4(1-x)} & \text{if } x \in (-\infty, \frac{1}{2}] \\ x & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1) \\ \frac{x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1}}{2} & \text{if } x \in (1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

Problem 4A,11

Give an example of a Borel measurable function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $h^*(b) < \infty$ for all $b \in \mathbb{R}$ but $\sup\{h^*(b) | b \in \mathbb{R}\} = \infty$.

Proof: We construct a continuous integrable function f as follows: for each integer n , set $f(n) = |n|, f(n - \frac{1}{n^9}) = 0, f(n + \frac{1}{n^9}) = 0$. Then let f be linear function between these points. Since $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^8} < \infty$, we know the function is integrable and continuous. Note $f^*(x) \geq f(x)$, we know that $\sup\{h^*(b) | b \in \mathbb{R}\} = \infty$. Note that for every $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \lim_{b \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2b} \int_{x_0-b}^{x_0+b} f(x) dx = 0, \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2b} \int_{x_0-b}^{x_0+b} f(x) dx = f(x_0), \frac{1}{2b} \int_{x_0-b}^{x_0+b} f(x) dx = 0$ is continuous function in $b \in (0, \infty)$, we know that $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $h^*(b) < \infty$ for all $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Problem 4A,13

Show that there exists $h \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $h^*(b) = \infty$ for every $b \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof: First we can construct a integrable function g such that $g^*(0) = \infty, g^*(x) < \infty$ for each $x \neq 0$ (e.g. consider $g(x) = \log|x|$ near 0). Now let $\{q_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be all rational numbers. Our h can be choosed to be

$$h(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} g(x - q_k).$$

It is easy to check this is convergent series and satisfies all the assumption.

Problem 4B,2

Suppose $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$. Prove that for almost every $b \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f - f_I| : I \text{ is an interval of length } t \text{ containing } b \right\} = 0.$$

Proof: Let b be a Lebesgue point, which means

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f - f(b)| = 0.$$

Let I be an interval containing b . Then $|\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(x) dx - f(b)| = |\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I (f(x) - f(b))| \leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(x) - f(b)| dx \leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{b-|I|}^{b+|I|} |f(x) - f(b)| dx$. Therefore

$$\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \{f_I - f(b) : I \text{ is an interval of length } t \text{ containing } b\} = 0$$

This obviously implies the

$$\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f - f_I| : I \text{ is an interval of length } t \text{ containing } b \right\} = 0.$$

Problem 4B,3

Suppose $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lebesgue measurable function such that $f^2 \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$. Prove that

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f - f(b)|^2 = 0$$

Proof: Note that $f^2 \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$ implies $f \in \mathcal{L}_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R})$. And since we are dealing with local property, we in fact can assume $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then take b to be both Lebesgue point of f, f^2 . Then

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f - f(b)| = 0.$$

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f^2 - f^2(b)| = 0.$$

which of course implies

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} f dx = f(b)$$

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} f^2 = f^2(b).$$

Then rewriting $\frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f - f(b)|^2 = \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} f^2 - 2f(b) \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} f + f^2(b)$, this completes the proof.

Problem 4B,9

Prove that if $t \in [0, 1]$, then there exists a Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that the density of E at 0 is t .

Proof: We only need to consider $t \in (0, 1)$. E can be chosen as follows:

$$E_1 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} - t \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right), \frac{1}{n} \right)$$

$$E_2 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{n}, -t \left(\frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n} \right) - \frac{1}{n} \right)$$

$$E = E_1 \cup E_2$$

Then it is easy to check this E satisfies the conclusion.